Journal of Physical Sciences and Advanced Materials

E-ISSN: 3121-9438
P-ISSN: 3121-9446

Volume 2, Issue 2, June, 2026
(An Academians Publishers of Research & Academic Resources)

Review Article

Emergent Phenomena in Complex Systems: From Quantum Reaction Dynamics to Photonic Bandgap Engineering

Mohammad A.L[1]*, J. E. Saud[2]
[1]*Department of Environmental Engineering, University of Baghdad, Iraq*
[2]*Department of Physics, Universitas Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia — Materials Physics and Condensed Matter Physics are among its specializations, with dedicated Materials Science programs.*

Abstract: The study of complex systems has emerged as a unifying theme across diverse domains of physics, from nuclear reactions at intermediate energies to the propagation of electromagnetic waves in periodic dielectric structures. Despite the apparent differences in scale, energy, and physical mechanisms, these systems share fundamental characteristics: they exhibit emergent behavior that cannot be predicted from the properties of their individual components, they display transitions between different regimes of organization, and they require sophisticated computational and theoretical tools for their analysis. This article synthesizes insights from two distinct areas of contemporary physics research—pre-equilibrium nuclear reaction dynamics and photonic bandgap engineering in periodic structures—to develop an integrated perspective on emergent phenomena in complex systems. The analysis examines how energy dissipates and redistributes in nuclear systems through multi-step processes, how electromagnetic waves propagate and are controlled in photonic crystals, and how computational methods enable the prediction and optimization of these behaviors. Particular attention is given to the role of periodicity and structure in determining system properties, the transition from ordered to disordered behavior, and the practical applications that emerge from fundamental understanding. The article argues that progress in both domains depends on recognizing the universal principles that govern complex system behavior and on developing computational frameworks capable of capturing these principles across scales. It concludes by proposing directions for future research that exploit these commonalities to advance both fundamental understanding and technological applications.

Keywords: *complex systems, nuclear reactions, pre-equilibrium emission, photonic crystals, bandgap engineering, computational physics, emergent phenomena*

1. Introduction

The physical world presents us with phenomena that span an extraordinary range of scales, from the subatomic realm of quarks and gluons to the cosmic scale of galaxies and galaxy clusters. Yet amid this diversity, certain patterns recur. Systems composed of many interacting components—whether nucleons in a nucleus, atoms in a crystal, or photons in a periodic medium—exhibit collective behaviors that emerge from the interactions among their constituents and cannot be reduced to the properties of individual particles. Understanding these emergent phenomena is one of the central challenges of contemporary physics.

Complex systems research has emerged as a response to this challenge, seeking to identify the universal principles that govern the behavior of systems with many degrees of freedom. These principles include the tendency toward equilibrium, the role of fluctuations and correlations, the emergence of order parameters and phase transitions, and the interplay between deterministic dynamics and stochastic behavior. They apply across scales and disciplines, from the statistical mechanics of gases to the collective behavior of biological populations, from the dynamics of financial markets to the evolution of ecosystems.

In physics, complex systems research has focused on understanding how macroscopic behavior emerges from microscopic interactions. Nuclear physics provides one

Received: 08 April, 2026; Accepted: 19 May, 2026; Available Online: 27 June, 2026

domain in which these questions are particularly acute. A nucleus is a quantum many-body system in which strongly interacting nucleons are bound together by the strong force. When a nucleus is excited—by collision with a projectile, for example—the energy is distributed among the nucleons through a complex series of interactions. Understanding how this energy is dissipated, how it ultimately leads to the emission of particles, and how the reaction products are distributed among different channels is a central problem of nuclear reaction theory.

Photonic crystals provide a complementary domain for studying complex systems. These artificial structures, composed of materials with different dielectric constants arranged in periodic patterns, create "bandgaps"—frequency ranges in which electromagnetic waves cannot propagate. This phenomenon, analogous to the electronic bandgaps in semiconductors, arises from the constructive interference of waves scattered by the periodic structure. The ability to engineer the bandgap through choice of materials, lattice geometry, and defect structure has enabled a wide range of optical applications, from filters and waveguides to sensors and lasers.

This article examines these two domains—pre-equilibrium nuclear reactions and photonic crystals—as examples of complex systems with emergent properties. Despite their apparent differences, these systems share fundamental characteristics. Both involve the propagation of energy through structured media. Both exhibit transitions between different regimes of behavior. Both require sophisticated computational tools for their analysis. And both have important practical applications that motivate continued research.

The analysis proceeds in three main parts. Section 2 examines the physics of pre-equilibrium nuclear reactions, focusing on the transition from initial excitation to statistical equilibrium and the role of multi-step processes in determining reaction outcomes. Section 3 examines the physics of photonic crystals, focusing on the formation of bandgaps and the control of light propagation through structure. Section 4 explores the computational methods used to model these systems, comparing the approaches developed in nuclear physics and photonics. Section 5 discusses the common principles that emerge from these analyses and their implications for understanding complex systems more broadly. Section 6 concludes with reflections on future research directions.

2. Pre-Equilibrium Nuclear Reaction Dynamics

2.1 The Nuclear Many-Body Problem

The atomic nucleus is a quantum many-body system consisting of protons and neutrons bound together by the strong nuclear force. Unlike the electromagnetic force that binds electrons to nuclei, the strong force is short-range and acts with equal strength on protons and neutrons. This creates a complex interplay of forces that cannot be solved exactly for any but the lightest nuclei.

The nuclear shell model, developed in the 1940s and 1950s, provided a first approximation to nuclear structure by treating nucleons as independent particles moving in an average potential created by the other nucleons. This model successfully explained the magic numbers—2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82, 126—at which nuclei exhibit enhanced stability, analogous to the noble gases in atomic physics. However, the shell model neglects the residual interactions between nucleons, which become increasingly important as the excitation energy increases.

For nuclear reactions, the relevant regime is often the highly excited nucleus, in which the energy deposited by the projectile is distributed among many nucleons. In this regime, the statistical description of nuclear states becomes appropriate. The density of nuclear states increases rapidly with excitation energy, and the nucleus can be characterized by a temperature and other thermodynamic variables. The behavior of such a system is governed by statistical mechanics rather than the detailed quantum dynamics of individual nucleons.

2.2 The Compound Nucleus and Pre-Equilibrium Emission

The classical theory of nuclear reactions, developed by Niels Bohr in the 1930s, proposed that reactions proceed through a compound nucleus—a highly excited intermediate state in which the energy of the projectile is shared among all the nucleons. The compound nucleus lives for a relatively long time (compared to the time scale of nuclear motion) and "forgets" how it was formed. Its decay is then determined solely by statistical considerations, with the probability of emitting a particular particle depending on the available phase space.

The compound nucleus model successfully explained many features of nuclear reactions, particularly at low bombarding energies where the nuclear level density is high and the statistical approximation is valid. However, as experimental techniques improved and higher energies became accessible, deviations from compound nucleus predictions were observed. In particular, the emission of high-energy particles at forward angles was found to be more abundant than the compound nucleus model would predict.

These observations led to the development of pre-equilibrium models, which describe the emission of particles before the system reaches statistical equilibrium. In these models, the reaction proceeds through a series of stages, each characterized by an increasing number of particle-hole excitations. At each stage, there is a probability of emitting a particle, competing with the probability of further excitations that move the system toward equilibrium.

The exciton model, developed by Griffin in 1966, provided a simple and elegant framework for describing pre-equilibrium emission. In this model, the state of the system is characterized by the number of excitons—particles above the Fermi surface and holes below it. The initial state, formed when the projectile enters the nucleus, has a small number of excitons. Through two-body collisions, this number increases until equilibrium is reached, at which point the exciton number is large and the statistical description applies.

The pre-equilibrium emission of particles occurs during the equilibration process. At each stage, the system can either emit a particle (reducing the energy available for further equilibration) or undergo another collision (increasing the exciton number). The competition between these processes determines the spectrum and angular distribution of emitted particles.

2.3 Alpha-Induced Reactions on Niobium

The reaction of alpha particles with niobium-93 provides a rich case study for pre-equilibrium dynamics. Alpha particles are strongly interacting and have a short mean free path in nuclear matter, making them ideal probes of the early stages of nuclear reactions. The niobium-93 nucleus, with 41 protons and 52 neutrons, is a medium-mass nucleus that can undergo a variety of reactions with alpha particles, including (α,n), (α,2n), (α,3n), and (α,4n) channels.

Experimental studies of these reactions have been conducted over a range of bombarding energies, from threshold to 100 MeV, providing detailed excitation functions that reveal the transition from compound nucleus to pre-equilibrium dominance. At low energies, the cross sections exhibit the characteristic shape of compound nucleus reactions—a rapid rise to a maximum followed by a gradual decline as competing channels open. At higher energies, the cross sections show enhanced high-energy tails, indicating the contribution of pre-equilibrium emission.

Theoretical analysis of these reactions requires a model that can describe both the pre-equilibrium and equilibrium phases of the reaction. The computer code COMPLET, developed for this purpose, implements the exciton model for pre-equilibrium emission and the Hauser-Feshbach formalism for compound nucleus decay. It allows for the evaporation of protons, neutrons, deuterons, tritons, helium-3, and alpha particles, and can calculate cross sections up to bombarding energies of 300 MeV.

The key parameter in these calculations is the initial exciton number, which describes the configuration of the system immediately after the projectile enters the nucleus. For alpha-induced reactions, the initial exciton number is typically taken to be $n_0 = 4(4p + 0h)$, reflecting the four nucleons of the alpha particle. The level density parameter, which determines the density of states at a given excitation energy, is typically taken to be a = A/10, where A is the mass number.

Comparisons of calculated cross sections with experimental data show generally good agreement across the full range of energies and reaction channels. At low energies, the compound nucleus dominates, and the calculated cross sections match the experimental data well. At higher energies, the pre-equilibrium contribution becomes increasingly important, and the model successfully captures the enhanced high-energy tails. The agreement is particularly good for the (α,n) and (α,2n) reactions, while somewhat larger discrepancies are observed for the (α,3n) and (α,4n) channels at the highest energies.

3. Photonic Bandgap Engineering in Periodic Structures

3.1 The Physics of Photonic Crystals

Photonic crystals are artificial structures in which the dielectric constant varies periodically in one, two, or three dimensions. The periodicity creates a "photonic band structure" analogous to the electronic band structure of crystalline solids. Just as the periodic potential in a crystal creates energy bands and gaps for electrons, the periodic dielectric structure creates frequency bands and gaps for photons.

The physics of photonic crystals can be understood from the wave equation for electromagnetic waves in a dielectric medium. For a non-magnetic material with dielectric constant ε(r), the wave equation for the magnetic field H(r) is:

$$\nabla \times [1/\varepsilon(r) \nabla \times H(r)] = (\omega/c)^2 H(r)$$

where ω is the angular frequency and c is the speed of light. This equation has the form of an eigenvalue problem, with the eigenvalue proportional to ω^2. The solutions are the photonic modes of the structure, and the eigenvalues determine the allowed frequencies.

When the dielectric constant varies periodically, the solutions of the wave equation have the form of Bloch waves—plane waves modulated by a function with the periodicity of the lattice. The dispersion relation, which gives the frequency as a function of wave vector, exhibits bandgaps: frequency ranges in which no propagating modes exist. Within these gaps, electromagnetic waves cannot propagate through the structure and are instead reflected.

The width and position of the photonic bandgap depend on several factors. The contrast between the dielectric constants of the constituent materials is the most important parameter: larger contrast produces wider gaps. The filling fraction—the proportion of the structure occupied by each material—also influences the gap. And the geometry of the lattice determines the shape of the band structure and the location of the gaps.

3.2 One-Dimensional Photonic Crystals

One-dimensional photonic crystals, also known as Bragg mirrors or distributed Bragg reflectors, are the simplest and most widely studied photonic structures. They consist of alternating layers of two materials with different dielectric constants, with layer thicknesses chosen to produce constructive interference of reflected waves at a specific wavelength.

The optical properties of 1D photonic crystals can be understood using transfer matrix methods, which relate the electromagnetic field at one interface to the field at the next. For a structure with N periods, the reflectance and transmittance can be calculated analytically, providing insights into the dependence on material properties, layer thicknesses, and number of periods.

The band structure of a 1D photonic crystal can be calculated using the plane-wave expansion method or the finite-difference time-domain method. The plane-wave expansion method expands the fields in a Fourier series and solves the resulting eigenvalue problem, while the finite-difference time-domain method directly simulates the propagation of electromagnetic pulses through the structure.

Recent simulations using the MEEP software package have provided detailed insights into the band structure and optical properties of 1D photonic crystals. For a structure with alternating layers of air ($n_1 = 1.0$) and a high-index material ($n_2 = 3.25$), with equal layer thicknesses of 0.5 μm, the calculated band structure reveals multiple photonic bands separated by bandgaps. The reflectance spectrum shows high reflectance within the bandgap regions and low reflectance outside them, while the transmittance spectrum shows complementary behavior.

The flatness of some bands in the band structure indicates the presence of "slow light" modes, in which the group velocity of light is significantly reduced. These modes have potential applications in optical delay lines and in enhancing light-matter interactions for sensing and nonlinear optics.

3.3 Applications of Photonic Bandgap Materials

The ability to control the propagation of light through photonic bandgap engineering has enabled a wide range of applications. Optical filters based on 1D photonic crystals can achieve high reflectance over specific wavelength ranges, making them useful for telecommunications, spectroscopy, and laser systems. Waveguides based on 2D or 3D photonic crystals can confine and guide light with minimal loss, enabling compact optical circuits. Sensors based on photonic crystals can detect changes in refractive index with high sensitivity, making them useful for chemical and biological sensing.

The development of photonic crystal fibers—optical fibers with a periodic array of air holes running along their length—has revolutionized fiber optics by enabling new regimes of light propagation, including endless single-mode operation and high nonlinearity. Photonic crystal lasers, in which the gain medium is embedded in a photonic crystal cavity, can achieve ultra-low thresholds and high efficiency. And photonic crystal devices are being explored for quantum information processing, where the ability to control the interaction between light and matter at the single-photon level is essential.

4. Computational Approaches to Complex Systems

4.1 Nuclear Reaction Codes

The analysis of nuclear reactions requires computational tools capable of handling the complex dynamics of many-body quantum systems. Several codes have been developed for this purpose, each with its own strengths and limitations.

The COMPLET code, used in the studies of alpha-induced reactions on niobium, implements the exciton model for pre-equilibrium emission and the Hauser-Feshbach formalism for compound nucleus decay. It calculates cross sections for a variety of reaction channels and can handle bombarding energies up to 300 MeV. The code requires input parameters such as the initial exciton number, level density parameter, and optical model potentials, which are typically determined from experimental data or theoretical considerations.

Other nuclear reaction codes include EMPIRE, which implements a wider range of reaction mechanisms including coupled channels and direct reactions; TALYS, which is designed for both fundamental research and applications; and ALICE, which was one of the first codes to implement pre-equilibrium models. Each code has its own approach to modeling the reaction process, and comparisons between codes can provide insights into the uncertainties in the calculations.

The validation of nuclear reaction codes against experimental data is an essential part of their development. The EXFOR database, maintained by the International Atomic Energy Agency, provides a comprehensive collection of experimental nuclear reaction data that can be used for this purpose. Comparisons of calculated and experimental cross sections reveal the strengths and limitations of the models and guide their refinement.

4.2 Photonic Simulation Tools

The simulation of photonic crystals requires computational tools capable of solving Maxwell's equations in complex geometries. Several methods have been developed for this purpose, each with its own advantages and disadvantages.

The finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method, implemented in software packages such as MEEP, discretizes Maxwell's equations in both space and time

and simulates the propagation of electromagnetic pulses through the structure. This method is versatile and can handle arbitrary geometries, material dispersion, and nonlinear effects. However, it requires fine spatial and temporal discretization, which can be computationally demanding for large structures or long simulation times.

The plane-wave expansion (PWE) method, implemented in packages such as MPB, expands the fields in a Fourier series and solves the resulting eigenvalue problem to obtain the band structure. This method is efficient for periodic structures but is limited to linear, non-dispersive materials and cannot easily handle defects or finite structures.

The transfer matrix method is particularly suited to 1D photonic crystals, where it can provide analytical solutions for the reflectance and transmittance. It is computationally efficient but limited to structures with planar layers.

The choice of method depends on the specific problem being addressed. For band structure calculations in periodic structures, the PWE method is often preferred. For simulations of finite structures or time-dependent phenomena, the FDTD method is more appropriate. And for 1D structures, the transfer matrix method provides a simple and efficient approach.

4.3 Machine Learning and Inverse Design

Recent advances in machine learning have opened new possibilities for the design and optimization of complex systems. In nuclear physics, machine learning techniques have been applied to the prediction of nuclear properties, the analysis of experimental data, and the optimization of reaction parameters. In photonics, machine learning has been used for the inverse design of photonic structures—determining the geometry that produces a desired optical response.

The inverse design problem is particularly challenging because it requires searching a high-dimensional design space for structures that satisfy multiple constraints. Traditional optimization methods, such as genetic algorithms or gradient-based methods, can be slow and may converge to local optima. Machine learning approaches, such as neural networks trained on large datasets of structure-property relationships, can provide faster and more accurate predictions.

The integration of machine learning with physics-based simulation is an emerging area of research. Physics-informed neural networks, which incorporate physical constraints into the learning process, can provide accurate predictions with less training data. Differentiable simulation, in which the simulation is implemented in a way that allows gradients to be computed, enables gradient-based optimization of complex systems. These approaches have the potential to accelerate the design and optimization of both nuclear and photonic systems.

5. Common Principles in Complex Systems

5.1 Emergence and Collective Behavior

The two domains examined in this article—nuclear reactions and photonic crystals—illustrate the concept of emergence: the appearance of collective behaviors that cannot be predicted from the properties of individual components. In nuclear reactions, the pre-equilibrium emission of particles emerges from the complex interplay of nucleon-nucleon collisions and cannot be understood by considering individual nucleons in isolation. In photonic crystals, the formation of bandgaps emerges from the collective scattering of waves by the periodic structure and cannot be predicted from the properties of individual layers.

The concept of emergence is central to complex systems research. It suggests that understanding complex systems requires not only knowledge of the constituent components but also understanding of how these components interact and organize. This understanding often requires new theoretical frameworks and computational tools that can capture the collective behavior of many interacting components.

5.2 Transitions Between Regimes

Both nuclear reactions and photonic crystals exhibit transitions between different regimes of behavior. In nuclear reactions, the transition from compound nucleus dominance at low energies to pre-equilibrium dominance at high energies represents a shift from a fully equilibrated system to one that is still evolving toward equilibrium. In photonic crystals, the transition from allowed to forbidden propagation at the bandgap edges represents a shift from transparent to reflective behavior.

These transitions are characterized by changes in the qualitative behavior of the system, not just quantitative changes in particular properties. Understanding these transitions requires identifying the order parameters that characterize different regimes and the mechanisms that drive the transitions. In nuclear reactions, the exciton number serves as an order parameter, increasing from small values in the pre-equilibrium phase to large values at equilibrium. In photonic crystals, the frequency relative to the bandgap serves as an order parameter, determining whether propagation is allowed or forbidden.

5.3 The Role of Structure and Periodicity

The properties of both nuclear and photonic systems are strongly influenced by their structure. In nuclei, the shell structure—the arrangement of nucleons in discrete energy levels—determines many nuclear properties, including the magic numbers and the level density. In photonic crystals, the periodic arrangement of dielectric materials determines the band structure and the location of bandgaps.

Periodicity plays a particularly important role in both systems. The periodic potential of the nucleus creates the shell structure; the periodic dielectric structure of the photonic crystal creates the band structure. In both cases, the periodicity leads to the formation of allowed and forbidden regions in the relevant spectrum (energy for nuclei, frequency for photons). And in both cases, deviations from perfect periodicity—defects in the photonic crystal, impurities in the nucleus—create localized states within the gaps.

5.4 Computational Challenges

The computational challenges encountered in nuclear and photonic physics share common features. Both involve solving partial differential equations in complex geometries. Both require handling multiple scales, from the microscopic interactions to the macroscopic behavior. And both benefit from advances in computational hardware and algorithms.

The development of computational tools for these systems has followed parallel trajectories. In nuclear physics, the evolution from simple exciton models to sophisticated codes implementing multiple reaction mechanisms reflects the increasing understanding of nuclear dynamics. In photonics, the development of FDTD, PWE, and other methods reflects the growing sophistication of electromagnetic simulation. In both fields, the validation of computational results against experimental data is essential for establishing confidence in the predictions.

6. Future Directions

6.1 Integration Across Scales

One of the key challenges in complex systems research is integrating understanding across scales. In nuclear physics, this means connecting the microscopic dynamics of nucleon-nucleon interactions to the macroscopic behavior of nuclear reactions. In photonics, it means connecting the properties of individual meta-atoms to the collective behavior of the photonic crystal. In both cases, multi-scale modeling approaches that bridge different levels of description are needed.

The development of multi-scale models requires both theoretical advances and computational tools. Machine learning approaches that can learn effective descriptions at different scales are one promising direction. Another is the development of hybrid methods that combine different modeling approaches, using each where it is most appropriate.

6.2 Real-Time and In-Situ Measurements

Advances in experimental techniques are enabling new types of measurements that can test and refine theoretical models. In nuclear physics, the development of radioactive ion beams and advanced detector systems is enabling studies of reactions with exotic nuclei and at extreme energies. In photonics, advances in ultrafast lasers and near-field microscopy are enabling studies of light propagation with unprecedented spatial and temporal resolution.

These experimental advances create new challenges for theory and simulation. Models must be able to predict not only average properties but also fluctuations and correlations. Simulations must be able to handle time-dependent phenomena and non-equilibrium conditions. And the interpretation of experimental data requires close collaboration between experimentalists and theorists.

6.3 Applications and Technology Transfer

The fundamental understanding developed through complex systems research has important applications in both nuclear and photonic domains. In nuclear physics, improved understanding of reaction mechanisms is essential for nuclear energy, nuclear medicine, and nuclear non-proliferation. In photonics, advances in bandgap engineering are enabling new optical devices for telecommunications, sensing, and computing.

The transfer of fundamental research to practical applications requires not only scientific advances but also engineering development. The gap between laboratory demonstrations and commercial products is often substantial, requiring investments in manufacturing, reliability, and cost reduction. Bridging this gap is a challenge for both nuclear and photonic technologies.

7. Conclusions

The study of complex systems has emerged as a unifying theme across diverse domains of physics. Despite the apparent differences between nuclear reactions and photonic crystals, these systems share fundamental characteristics: they exhibit emergent behavior that cannot be predicted from the properties of their components; they display transitions between different regimes of organization; they are strongly influenced by structure and periodicity; and they require sophisticated computational tools for their analysis.

The examination of pre-equilibrium nuclear reactions and photonic bandgap engineering illustrates these common principles. In nuclear reactions, the transition from pre-equilibrium to equilibrium emission reveals the complex dynamics of energy dissipation in many-body quantum systems. In photonic crystals, the formation of bandgaps reveals the collective behavior of waves in periodic media. Both systems exemplify the interplay between microscopic interactions and macroscopic behavior that characterizes complex systems.

The computational methods developed for these systems share common features: they discretize continuous equations, they handle complex geometries, and they require validation against experimental data. The development of these methods has been driven by advances in both understanding and technology, and the

ongoing refinement of computational tools is essential for continued progress.

Looking forward, the integration of understanding across scales, the development of real-time and in-situ measurement techniques, and the transfer of fundamental research to practical applications are key challenges. Addressing these challenges will require continued collaboration between experimentalists, theorists, and computational scientists, and will benefit from cross-fertilization between different domains of complex systems research.

The study of complex systems is ultimately a study of ourselves—of the ways in which order emerges from disorder, structure from chaos, and understanding from complexity. The two domains examined in this article, different as they are, both illuminate these fundamental questions. By pursuing them further, we can deepen our understanding of the physical world and develop new technologies that serve human welfare.

References

Bohr, N. (1936). Neutron capture and nuclear constitution. *Nature*, *137*(3461), 344–348.

Griffin, J. J. (1966). Statistical model of intermediate structure. *Physical Review Letters*, *17*(9), 478–481.

Joannopoulos, J. D., Meade, R. D., & Winn, J. N. (1995). *Photonic crystals: Molding the flow of light*. Princeton University Press.

John, S. (1987). Strong localization of photons in certain disordered dielectric superlattices. *Physical Review Letters*, *58*(23), 2486–2489.

Mekonen, C. S., & Mekonen, T. A. (2023). Pre-equilibrium effects on alpha particle induced reactions on niobium isotope from threshold upto 100 MeV using the computer code COMPLET. *International Journal of Physics Research and Applications*, *6*, 180–184.

Oskooi, A. F., Roundy, D., Ibanescu, M., Bermel, P., Joannopoulos, J. D., & Johnson, S. G. (2010). MEEP: A flexible free software package for electromagnetic simulations by the FDTD method. *Computer Physics Communications*, *181*(3), 687–702.

Sulemani, M. F. (2024). Simulation and analysis of photonic bandgaps in 1D photonic crystals using MEEP. *International Journal of Physics Research and Applications*, *7*(2), 127–131.

Taflove, A., & Hagness, S. C. (2005). *Computational electrodynamics: The finite-difference time-domain method*. Artech House.

Yablonovitch, E. (1987). Inhibited spontaneous emission in solid-state physics and electronics. *Physical Review Letters*, *58*(20), 2059–2062.