Journal of Physical Sciences and Advanced Materials

E-ISSN: 3121-9438
P-ISSN: 3121-9446

Volume 2, Issue 1, March, 2026
(An Academians Publishers of Research & Academic Resources)

Review Article

The Dual-Use Dilemma: Civilian Innovation, Military Application, and the Governance of Emerging Technologies

B. A Huda[1]*, M.A Farq[2]
[1]*Department of Environmental Science, University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos*
[2]*Materials Science and Engineering Program, University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines.*

Abstract: The relationship between civilian and military technology has always been complex, but the contemporary era presents unprecedented challenges. Advances in fundamental science—from thermodynamics to materials science to photonics—increasingly flow into both civilian and military applications, often in ways that are difficult to predict or control. This article examines the dual-use nature of emerging technologies through the lens of four domains: directed-energy systems, energetic materials, magnetohydrodynamic and nanofluid technologies, and thermodynamic principles underlying energy conversion. Drawing on recent research and policy developments, the analysis explores how scientific advances in these areas have simultaneously enabled civilian benefits and military capabilities, creating dilemmas for researchers, institutions, and governments. The article argues that traditional approaches to managing dual-use research—based on export controls, classification, and technology denial—are increasingly inadequate for a world in which knowledge flows freely and innovation is globally distributed. It proposes a more nuanced framework that acknowledges the inevitability of dual-use applications while seeking to steer research toward beneficial ends, promote transparency, and strengthen international norms. The analysis concludes with implications for research policy, ethical review, and international governance of emerging technologies.

Keywords: *dual-use technology, directed-energy weapons, energetic materials, nanofluids, research ethics, technology governance, international security*

1. Introduction

The relationship between science and warfare is as old as civilization itself. Archimedes is said to have designed defensive weapons for Syracuse; Leonardo da Vinci sketched both flying machines and armored vehicles; Alfred Nobel invented dynamite for mining and became wealthy enough to endow the prizes that bear his name. Yet the scale and complexity of the contemporary science-security nexus dwarfs anything that came before. Modern military capabilities rest on a foundation of scientific knowledge that spans virtually every discipline, from quantum mechanics to molecular biology, from materials science to information theory. And the same knowledge that enables military applications also enables civilian ones—often more directly and more immediately than in the past.

This entanglement of civilian and military applications is captured by the concept of "dual-use" technology. The term was originally coined to describe technologies that could be used for either peaceful or military purposes—nuclear energy being the paradigmatic example. But the concept has evolved to encompass a much broader range of challenges. In its contemporary usage, dual-use refers not only to technologies with both civilian and military applications but also to research that could be misused for harmful purposes, knowledge that could be exploited by malicious actors, and capabilities that blur the distinction between defense and offense.

The dual-use dilemma is particularly acute in fields at the frontier of scientific research, where the potential for both benefit and harm is greatest and the trajectories of technological development are least predictable. Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, synthetic biology, quantum computing, and advanced materials present dual-use challenges that existing governance frameworks are ill-equipped to address. The pace of

Received: 05 February, 2026; Accepted: 10 March, 2026; Available Online: 31 March, 2026

innovation outstrips the capacity of regulatory institutions to respond; the global distribution of research capability undermines national controls; and the blurring of boundaries between civilian and military domains complicates traditional distinctions.

This article examines the dual-use dilemma through the lens of four technological domains that illustrate different aspects of the challenge. Directed-energy systems, particularly high-power lasers, exemplify the flow of civilian innovation into military applications and the resulting strategic competition. Energetic materials—pyrotechnics, propellants, and explosives—illustrate the historical depth of dual-use challenges and the persistent tension between civilian and military applications of chemistry. Magnetohydrodynamic and nanofluid technologies demonstrate how advances in fundamental fluid dynamics and heat transfer can enable both industrial efficiency and military capability. And thermodynamic principles underlying energy conversion illustrate how even the most abstract scientific knowledge can have strategic implications.

The analysis draws on recent research and policy developments to explore the dual-use dilemma in each domain, identifying common patterns and distinctive features. It examines the mechanisms by which civilian research flows into military applications, the factors that shape the pace and direction of that flow, and the governance challenges that arise. It also considers the ethical dimensions of dual-use research and the responsibilities of scientists, institutions, and governments.

The central argument is that traditional approaches to managing dual-use research—based on export controls, classification, and technology denial—are increasingly inadequate for a world in which knowledge flows freely, innovation is globally distributed, and the boundaries between civilian and military domains are increasingly blurred. A more nuanced framework is needed that acknowledges the inevitability of dual-use applications while seeking to steer research toward beneficial ends, promote transparency, and strengthen international norms. Such a framework must balance the imperatives of security with the values of openness, scientific freedom, and international cooperation that have driven scientific progress.

2. Historical Perspectives on Dual-Use Technology

2.1 From Ancient Weapons to Industrial Revolution

The entanglement of science and warfare is ancient, but the scale and character of that entanglement have changed dramatically over time. In the pre-modern era, weapons technology developed largely independently of scientific inquiry. Swords, spears, bows, and armor were the products of craft traditions refined through centuries of experience, not of systematic investigation of natural phenomena. The scientific revolution of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries began to change this relationship, as natural philosophers turned their attention to problems of ballistics, fortification, and navigation.

The Industrial Revolution marked a decisive shift. The factory system that produced textiles and consumer goods could be repurposed to produce weapons and ammunition; the railroads that carried passengers and freight could move troops and supplies; the telegraph that enabled commercial communication could coordinate military operations. The Crimean War and the American Civil War demonstrated the military potential of industrial technology, while the Franco-Prussian War of 1870-71 showed how quickly military advantage could shift to the side that better integrated industrial capacity with military strategy.

The relationship between civilian and military technology was further transformed by the chemical and electrical revolutions of the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The synthetic dye industry that produced aniline dyes for textiles also produced the precursors for high explosives and chemical weapons. The electrical industry that brought light and power to homes and factories also enabled the development of radio communication, radar, and electronic warfare. By the outbreak of the First World War, the boundaries between civilian and military technology had become thoroughly blurred.

2.2 The Manhattan Project and the Birth of Big Science

The Second World War marked a qualitative shift in the relationship between science and warfare. The Manhattan Project—the American-led effort to develop atomic weapons—brought together thousands of scientists, engineers, and technicians in a massive, secret, and lavishly funded research enterprise. The project demonstrated that fundamental science could be mobilized for military purposes on an unprecedented scale, and that the resulting capabilities could be decisive in determining the outcome of conflicts.

The Manhattan Project also established a model for the relationship between science and the state that persists to this day. Government funding of basic research, justified in part by its potential military applications, became a central feature of the postwar scientific landscape. The National Science Foundation, the National Institutes of Health, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, and their counterparts in other countries were established or expanded to support research that served both civilian and military needs.

The Cold War intensified this relationship. The competition between the United States and the Soviet Union drove investment in a wide range of technologies—nuclear weapons, ballistic missiles, satellites, computers, lasers—that had both military and civilian applications. The space race, the semiconductor revolution, and the development of the internet all emerged from this competition, with military funding and military requirements shaping the direction and pace of technological development.

2.3 The Post-Cold War Era and the Rise of Emerging Technologies

The end of the Cold War brought expectations of a "peace dividend"—a reduction in military spending and a reorientation of research toward civilian priorities. These expectations were partially realized: defense budgets declined in many countries, and some military research programs were scaled back or eliminated. But the relationship between science and warfare did not disappear. New threats—terrorism, regional conflicts, cyber warfare—emerged to take the place of the Soviet Union, and new technologies—information technology, biotechnology, nanotechnology—opened new frontiers for both civilian and military applications.

The post-Cold War era also saw the globalization of research and development. The rise of China, India, and other emerging economies as scientific powers challenged the dominance of the United States, Europe, and Japan. The internet and digital technologies enabled collaboration across borders and the rapid dissemination of knowledge. The result is a world in which scientific capability is widely distributed, knowledge flows freely, and the traditional mechanisms for controlling the spread of dual-use technologies—export controls, classification, technology denial—are increasingly difficult to enforce.

3. Directed-Energy Systems: The Laser as Dual-Use Technology

3.1 From Laboratory Curiosity to Weapons System

The laser—an acronym for "light amplification by stimulated emission of radiation"—was demonstrated for the first time in 1960 by Theodore Maiman at Hughes Research Laboratories. The device was a laboratory curiosity, capable of producing only brief pulses of coherent light. Yet even before the first laser was built, scientists and engineers recognized its potential for both civilian and military applications. The laser's unique properties—monochromaticity, coherence, directionality—made it attractive for communication, measurement, and materials processing, as well as for targeting, range-finding, and eventually weapons.

The military potential of lasers was recognized early. The first applications were in range-finding and target designation, where the laser's narrow beam and short pulse duration provided advantages over radar. The development of high-power lasers for weapons applications proved more challenging, as the energy required to damage targets at militarily useful ranges far exceeded what early lasers could produce. The Strategic Defense Initiative—popularly known as "Star Wars"—launched by President Reagan in 1983, was predicated on the development of high-power lasers and other directed-energy weapons capable of destroying ballistic missiles in flight.

The technological challenges proved formidable. Chemical lasers, which produce high power by chemical reaction, were developed and tested, but they were large, heavy, and required hazardous chemicals. Solid-state lasers, which produce light by electrical excitation of solid materials, offered advantages in size, weight, and safety, but their power output was initially limited. The development of high-power solid-state lasers—particularly fiber lasers and disk lasers—has been a focus of research for decades, with steady progress in output power and beam quality.

3.2 The Strategic Logic of Directed-Energy Weapons

The strategic appeal of directed-energy weapons lies in their unique characteristics. Unlike kinetic weapons—guns, missiles, bombs—which deliver destruction through the momentum and energy of a physical projectile, directed-energy weapons deliver energy in the form of electromagnetic radiation. This provides several potential advantages: speed-of-light engagement, which eliminates the need to lead moving targets; precision targeting, which reduces collateral damage; deep magazines, since the "ammunition" is electrical energy rather than physical projectiles; and low cost per shot, since the marginal cost of firing a laser is small once the system is built.

These advantages have made directed-energy weapons attractive for a range of missions, particularly defense against drones, rockets, and missiles. The proliferation of small, inexpensive drones has created a demand for countermeasures that can engage multiple targets quickly and at low cost—a mission for which lasers are well suited. The threat of rocket and missile attacks on ships, bases, and civilian infrastructure has similarly driven interest in laser-based defense systems.

The development of directed-energy weapons is not confined to any single country. The United States, China, Russia, Israel, and several European countries are all pursuing laser weapons programs, with varying degrees of progress. China has been particularly active, demonstrating a range of laser systems at international exhibitions and deploying them for defense against drones and other threats. The Chinese government has identified laser weapons as a priority area for military modernization, and Chinese industry has developed significant capabilities in the underlying technologies.

3.3 The Civilian-Military Technology Nexus

The development of laser weapons illustrates the complex relationship between civilian and military technology. The underlying physics—stimulated emission, optical resonance, population inversion—was discovered and refined through basic research funded by a combination of military and civilian sources. The enabling technologies—fiber optics, laser diodes, precision optics—were developed primarily for civilian applications in telecommunications, manufacturing, and medicine, with military applications following.

The commercial laser industry has been a major driver of technological progress, producing high-quality lasers for cutting, welding, drilling, marking, and other industrial applications at steadily declining costs. The same laser technologies that enable industrial processing also enable military applications, often with minimal modification. The distinction between a laser used for cutting metal and a laser used for destroying drones is a matter of power, beam quality, and targeting systems—not of fundamental physics.

This entanglement of civilian and military applications creates challenges for governance. Export controls that seek to restrict the spread of military laser technology must contend with the fact that the same components and technologies are widely traded for civilian purposes. Classification of military research must contend with the fact that much of the underlying knowledge is publicly available in scientific literature. Technology denial efforts must contend with the fact that many countries have developed independent capabilities in laser technology and are unwilling to accept restrictions on their access.

4. Energetic Materials: The Chemistry of Power and Destruction

4.1 The Historical Depth of Dual-Use

Energetic materials—explosives, propellants, and pyrotechnics—are the oldest and most direct example of dual-use technology. The Chinese invention of black powder in the ninth century was initially used for fireworks and signals, but its military potential was quickly recognized. The development of gunpowder weapons transformed warfare, and the search for more powerful explosives has driven chemical research for centuries.

The Industrial Revolution brought new capabilities in the production of explosives, including nitroglycerin, dynamite, and smokeless powder. These materials enabled unprecedented destruction on the battlefield, but they also enabled unprecedented construction—railroads through mountains, canals across continents, mines that extracted minerals from deep underground. The same chemical reactions that propel bullets and shells also move earth and break rock.

The relationship between civilian and military applications of energetic materials has never been simple. The explosives industry has long served both markets, producing materials for mining, construction, and demolition as well as for military applications. The distinction between a mining explosive and a military explosive is often a matter of formulation and packaging rather than fundamental chemistry. And the underlying knowledge—the chemistry of nitrogen compounds, the physics of detonation, the engineering of blast effects—is taught in universities and published in journals accessible to all.

4.2 Pyrotechnics: Illumination, Signaling, and Weapons

Pyrotechnics—the technology of materials that produce heat, light, smoke, or sound through exothermic chemical reactions—provides a particularly clear example of the dual-use challenge. Pyrotechnic devices are used for illumination, signaling, and celebration in civilian contexts, and for targeting, marking, and obscuration in military ones. The same basic formulations serve both purposes, with the specific application determining the choice of materials and the design of the device.

Recent research on high-intensity pyrotechnic light sources illustrates the intersection of civilian and military interests. The development of strong light-blinding agents for non-lethal applications—devices that produce intense, brief flashes capable of temporarily disorienting or blinding—draws on the same chemistry as illumination flares and signal devices. The research has identified formulations that achieve luminous intensities reaching 10^{11} candelas, with pulse durations of tens of milliseconds, by combining nanoscale aluminum powder with appropriate oxidants and brighteners.

The physics underlying these devices involves the conversion of chemical energy to light through a combination of thermal radiation and chemiluminescence. The thermal effect of the reaction—the heat released per unit mass—determines the peak temperature and thus the intensity of thermal radiation. The brightener—a material with appropriate electronic energy levels—captures some of this thermal energy and re-emits it as radiation at specific wavelengths, increasing the efficiency of conversion. The result is a device that produces light with unprecedented intensity and efficiency.

The dual-use nature of this technology is evident. The same devices that can be used for non-lethal crowd control can also be used for illumination, signaling, and marking. The knowledge and materials required to produce them are widely available, and the devices themselves are relatively simple to manufacture. Attempts to control the spread of this technology through export controls or other mechanisms face the fundamental challenge that the underlying knowledge is public and the materials are commercially available.

4.3 The Persistence of Dual-Use Challenges

The dual-use challenge in energetic materials is not new, but it persists and evolves. Advances in materials science have produced new formulations with improved performance and reduced sensitivity, making them safer to handle but also potentially more effective as weapons. Advances in understanding of detonation physics have improved the ability to model and predict the effects of explosions, enabling more precise design of both civilian and military devices.

The governance of energetic materials has evolved over time, with international agreements regulating the trade in certain classes of explosives and national laws controlling the possession and use of others. But these controls have had limited effectiveness in preventing the spread of knowledge and capability. The fundamental challenge remains: the knowledge required to produce energetic materials is widely available, the materials themselves are commercially traded, and the line between civilian and military applications is inherently blurry.

5. Magnetohydrodynamics and Nanofluids: From Industrial Efficiency to Military Capability

5.1 The Physics of Conducting Fluids

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is the study of the behavior of electrically conducting fluids in magnetic fields. The field encompasses a wide range of phenomena, from the dynamics of plasmas in fusion reactors to the flow of liquid metals in industrial processes to the behavior of blood in magnetic fields. The underlying physics couples the equations of fluid dynamics with those of electromagnetism, producing complex behavior that is difficult to model and understand.

The practical applications of MHD span both civilian and military domains. In the civilian domain, MHD has been explored for power generation (MHD generators), propulsion (MHD thrusters for ships), and materials processing (electromagnetic stirring of molten metals). In the military domain, MHD has been investigated for propulsion (MHD thrusters for submarines), weapon systems (railguns and coilguns), and stealth (reduction of radar and infrared signatures).

The addition of nanoparticles to conducting fluids—creating what are called nanofluids—modifies their properties in ways that can be exploited for both civilian and military applications. The nanoparticles increase the thermal conductivity of the fluid, which can enhance heat transfer in cooling systems. They also modify the electrical conductivity and magnetic susceptibility of the fluid, which can affect its response to electromagnetic fields. The combined effects are complex and depend on the size, shape, concentration, and material of the nanoparticles, as well as the properties of the base fluid.

5.2 Nanofluids for Heat Transfer

The most widely explored civilian application of nanofluids is in heat transfer. Conventional heat transfer fluids—water, oil, ethylene glycol—have thermal conductivities that are orders of magnitude lower than those of metals. Adding metallic nanoparticles to these fluids can increase their thermal conductivity by tens of percent, potentially improving the efficiency of cooling systems for electronics, vehicles, and industrial processes.

Recent research on carbon nanotube (CNT) nanofluids has demonstrated significant enhancements in thermal conductivity, particularly for single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs). The thermal conductivity of CNTs is among the highest of any known material, and their high aspect ratio allows them to form networks within the fluid that provide efficient pathways for heat transfer. The addition of CNTs to base fluids such as water, engine oil, and kerosene has been shown to increase thermal conductivity by factors of two or more, depending on the concentration and dispersion of the nanotubes.

The practical challenges of using nanofluids for heat transfer include the stability of the suspension (nanoparticles tend to agglomerate and settle), the increase in viscosity (which increases pumping power requirements), and the potential for erosion and fouling of heat transfer surfaces. Research is ongoing to address these challenges through surface modification of the nanoparticles, optimization of dispersion methods, and design of heat transfer systems that accommodate the unique properties of nanofluids.

5.3 MHD and Nanofluids in Military Applications

The military applications of MHD and nanofluids are less visible than the civilian ones but potentially significant. MHD propulsion offers the prospect of quiet, efficient propulsion for submarines and surface ships, with the potential to reduce acoustic signatures and improve stealth. Railguns and coilguns, which use electromagnetic forces to accelerate projectiles to high velocities, could provide improved range and lethality compared to conventional guns. And nanofluids could enhance the performance of cooling systems for high-power electronics, lasers, and other military systems.

The dual-use nature of these technologies is evident. The same MHD principles that enable quiet submarine propulsion also enable industrial processes for refining metals. The same nanofluid technologies that enhance military cooling systems also enhance civilian ones. And the underlying knowledge—the physics of conducting fluids, the behavior of nanoparticles in suspension—is published openly in scientific literature and taught in universities.

The governance challenge is correspondingly complex. Export controls on MHD and nanofluid technologies must contend with the fact that the same technologies are widely used for civilian purposes. Classification of military research must contend with the fact that much of the underlying knowledge is publicly available. And technology denial efforts must contend with the fact that many countries are developing independent capabilities in these areas.

6. Thermodynamics: The Strategic Implications of Fundamental Science

6.1 The Ubiquity of Thermodynamic Constraints

Thermodynamics is the science of energy and its transformations. Its principles—the conservation of energy, the increase of entropy—are among the most fundamental in physics, with implications that extend from the behavior of steam engines to the evolution of the universe. The constraints that thermodynamics imposes on energy conversion—the Carnot limit for heat engines, the Shockley-Queisser limit for solar cells, the thermodynamic limits on photosynthesis—are absolute, applying to any conceivable technology.

The strategic implications of thermodynamics are less obvious than those of lasers or explosives but no less real. The efficiency with which energy can be converted from one form to another determines the performance of virtually every military system, from the engines that power tanks and aircraft to the generators that power ships and bases to the photovoltaic cells that power remote sensors and satellites. Improvements in energy conversion efficiency translate directly into increased range, endurance, and capability.

Recent theoretical work on the thermodynamics of irreversible processes has provided new insights into the limits of energy conversion. The key insight is that real processes are irreversible, and irreversibility reduces efficiency below the theoretical maximum. The entropy generation rate—a measure of irreversibility—depends on the driving force for the process and the properties of the system. For small driving forces, the relationship is linear (following the Onsager relations); for large driving forces, it becomes nonlinear, with entropy generation increasing faster than the driving force.

This nonlinearity has important implications for the design of energy conversion systems. At low power levels, efficiency approaches the thermodynamic limit but power output is small. At high power levels, power output increases but efficiency declines. The optimal operating point represents a trade-off between these competing objectives, and it lies at a finite power level where efficiency is significantly below the theoretical maximum.

6.2 Biological Systems as Models for Efficient Energy Conversion

Biological systems offer examples of highly efficient energy conversion that have been refined over billions of years of evolution. Photosynthesis, the process by which plants and algae convert light energy to chemical energy, is the foundation of life on Earth and an existence proof that efficient energy conversion is possible.

The efficiency of photosynthesis is low by human standards—typically 1-2% under optimal conditions—but this low efficiency reflects the constraints of the biological system rather than the thermodynamic limit. Theoretical analysis has shown that the maximum efficiency of photosynthesis under terrestrial conditions is approximately 81.5%, and that the compensation point—the light intensity at which photosynthesis exactly balances respiration—corresponds to specific efficiencies on the thermodynamic limit curve (70-76% depending on the plant type). Nature has optimized plants to operate near these limits, and further improvements are unlikely without fundamental redesign of the photosynthetic apparatus.

The study of photosynthesis has implications for the design of artificial energy conversion systems. The principles that nature uses—light absorption by pigment molecules, charge separation in reaction centers, catalysis of chemical reactions—can inspire the design of artificial systems. The limits that nature encounters—the trade-off between light absorption and thermalization, the need for charge separation to compete with recombination—also apply to artificial systems.

6.3 The Strategic Dimension of Thermodynamic Efficiency

The strategic dimension of thermodynamic efficiency is often overlooked but has been significant throughout history. The nation that could build more efficient engines could power faster ships, longer-range aircraft, and more capable tanks. The nation that could develop more efficient solar cells could power satellites and remote sensors for longer periods. The nation that could improve the efficiency of energy conversion in any domain gained advantages in range, endurance, and cost.

The contemporary strategic competition in energy conversion technologies is intense. The transition from fossil fuels to renewable energy is reshaping global power relationships, creating new dependencies and vulnerabilities. The development of advanced batteries, fuel cells, and solar cells is a focus of research investment in many countries. And the application of these technologies to military systems—electric vehicles, solar-powered drones, laser weapons—is a growing area of military research.

The dual-use nature of energy conversion technologies is evident. The same solar cells that power homes and businesses also power military bases and remote sensors. The same batteries that power electric vehicles also power military vehicles and equipment. And the same thermodynamic principles that guide the design of civilian energy systems also guide the design of military ones.

7. The Commercial-Military Nexus

7.1 The Role of Commercial Markets in Driving Technological Innovation

The relationship between commercial markets and military technology has evolved significantly over the past half-century. In the early Cold War period, military requirements drove technological development in many areas, with civilian applications often following. The development of semiconductors, computers, and the internet all benefited enormously from military funding and military requirements.

In the contemporary era, the relationship has reversed in many domains. Commercial markets are now the primary driver of technological innovation in areas such as semiconductors, software, telecommunications, and biotechnology. Military applications increasingly derive from commercial technologies rather than driving them. The smartphone in a soldier's pocket contains more computing power than the entire Apollo program; the drone that provides reconnaissance is based on consumer electronics; the laser that guides a smart bomb is based on technology developed for telecommunications and industrial processing.

This reversal has profound implications for the dual-use dilemma. When military technology was the driver and civilian technology the beneficiary, the flow of knowledge and capability was largely from military to civilian domains, and controls on the dissemination of military technology could be effective. When the flow is from civilian to military, the controls become much more difficult, since commercial technologies are inherently widely distributed.

7.2 The Globalization of Research and Development

The globalization of research and development has further complicated the dual-use dilemma. Scientific knowledge is now produced and disseminated globally, with major contributions from researchers in many countries. The traditional leaders—the United States, Europe, Japan—have been joined by China, India, South Korea, and other emerging economies as major centers of innovation. This distribution of capability means that attempts to control the spread of dual-use technologies by restricting access in one country are unlikely to succeed, since the knowledge is available elsewhere.

The globalization of R&D has also created new challenges for export control. Components and technologies that were once produced in a few countries are now produced in many, often as part of complex global supply chains. Attempts to restrict exports of dual-use items must navigate these supply chains, which is increasingly difficult as the distinction between military and civilian components becomes less clear.

7.3 Implications for Technology Governance

The commercial-military nexus has profound implications for technology governance. Traditional approaches to managing dual-use research—based on export controls, classification, and technology denial—were designed for a world in which military technology led and civilian technology followed. They are ill-suited for a world in which the flow is reversed, knowledge is globally distributed, and the boundaries between civilian and military domains are increasingly blurred.

A new approach is needed—one that acknowledges the inevitability of dual-use applications while seeking to steer research toward beneficial ends, promote transparency, and strengthen international norms. This approach must balance the imperatives of security with the values of openness, scientific freedom, and international cooperation that have driven scientific progress. It must recognize that attempts to control the spread of knowledge are likely to fail and that efforts are better directed toward shaping the direction of research and the norms that govern its application.

8. Ethical and Legal Frameworks for Dual-Use Research

8.1 The Responsibility of Scientists

The dual-use dilemma raises profound questions about the responsibility of scientists. Do researchers have an obligation to consider the potential applications of their work, including applications that may be harmful? Should they refrain from certain lines of research because of the potential for misuse? Or is the pursuit of knowledge an absolute value that should not be constrained by considerations of how that knowledge might be used?

These questions have been debated for decades, with no consensus emerging. Some argue that scientists have a responsibility to consider the implications of their work and to take steps to prevent misuse. Others argue that the pursuit of knowledge is inherently valuable and that attempts to constrain research are counterproductive. Still others argue that the responsibility lies not with individual scientists but with the institutions and societies that fund and regulate research.

The practical challenges are significant. Scientists cannot predict all the applications of their work; history is full of examples of research that yielded unexpected—and sometimes dangerous—results. Scientists cannot control how their work is used once it is published; knowledge, once released, cannot be recalled. And scientists cannot be expected to anticipate all the ways in which their work might be misused by others.

8.2 Institutional Frameworks for Dual-Use Review

Institutions—universities, research organizations, funding agencies—have developed a range of frameworks for reviewing dual-use research. These include institutional review boards (IRBs) that examine research involving human subjects, biological safety committees that review research involving dangerous pathogens, and export control offices that review research involving controlled technologies.

The effectiveness of these frameworks is debated. Some argue that they provide essential oversight, ensuring that the risks of dual-use research are considered before the research is undertaken. Others argue that they are bureaucratic impediments that slow research without providing meaningful protection. Still others argue that they are inconsistently applied, with some institutions and fields subject to rigorous review while others operate with little oversight.

A particular challenge is the tension between the need for transparency and the need for security. Research that is published openly contributes to the advancement of knowledge but also makes that knowledge available to potential adversaries. Research that is classified or otherwise restricted protects national security but also impedes scientific progress and creates opportunities for misunderstanding and suspicion.

8.3 International Legal Frameworks

International law provides a framework for regulating the development and use of certain classes of weapons. The Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (CCW) and its protocols regulate weapons that are considered excessively injurious or indiscriminate, including weapons that produce non-detectable fragments, incendiary weapons, and blinding laser weapons. The Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) prohibits the development, production, stockpiling, and use of chemical weapons, and the Biological Weapons Convention (BWC) prohibits the development, production, and stockpiling of biological weapons.

These frameworks have had some success in limiting the spread of certain classes of weapons, but they face significant limitations. They are difficult to enforce, particularly against states that are not parties or that choose to violate their provisions. They are slow to adapt to new technologies, which emerge more rapidly than treaty negotiations can proceed. And they are limited in scope, addressing only specific categories of weapons rather than the broader challenge of dual-use technology.

The challenge of adapting international law to emerging technologies is particularly acute. Technologies such as artificial intelligence, synthetic biology, and quantum computing present challenges that existing frameworks were not designed to address. The development of new international norms and regulations for these technologies is an urgent priority, but progress has been slow.

9. Case Studies in Dual-Use Governance

9.1 The Missile Technology Control Regime

The Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR) is a voluntary association of countries that seeks to limit the proliferation of missiles and missile technology capable of delivering weapons of mass destruction. Established in 1987, the MTCR has 35 member countries and has been credited with slowing the spread of missile technology to countries of concern.

The MTCR illustrates both the strengths and limitations of export control approaches to dual-use technology. The regime has been effective in limiting the spread of complete missile systems and major components, but it has been less effective in controlling the spread of knowledge and lower-level technologies. Countries determined to develop missile capabilities have found ways to acquire the necessary technologies, sometimes through indigenous development, sometimes through black markets, sometimes through countries outside the regime.

The MTCR also illustrates the tension between security and commercial interests. Restrictions on the export of missile technology can impede legitimate commercial activities, including space launch services that use similar technologies. Balancing security concerns with commercial interests is an ongoing challenge for the regime.

9.2 The Australia Group and Chemical/Biological Controls

The Australia Group is an informal forum of countries that seeks to harmonize export controls on chemicals and biological agents that could be used for chemical or biological weapons. Established in 1985 in response to the use of chemical weapons in the Iran-Iraq War, the group now has 43 members and maintains lists of controlled chemicals, biological agents, and equipment.

The Australia Group's approach illustrates the challenges of controlling dual-use materials. Many of the chemicals and agents on the control lists have legitimate civilian uses—in pharmaceuticals, agriculture, and research—so controls must be carefully calibrated to prevent misuse without impeding legitimate activities. The group has developed a "catch-all" mechanism that allows controls to be applied to items not on the lists if there is reason to believe they are intended for weapons purposes, providing flexibility to address emerging threats.

9.3 Emerging Challenges: Artificial Intelligence and Biotechnology

The governance of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and biotechnology presents challenges that existing frameworks are ill-equipped to address. These technologies are developing rapidly, have applications across virtually every domain, and are being pursued by a wide range of actors, from governments to corporations to individual researchers.

The dual-use potential of artificial intelligence is widely recognized. The same algorithms that enable autonomous vehicles and medical diagnosis can enable autonomous weapons and surveillance systems. The same machine learning techniques that improve productivity and efficiency can improve the effectiveness of cyberattacks and disinformation campaigns. The challenge of governing AI is compounded by its generality—the same techniques have applications across a wide range of domains—and by the difficulty of predicting its future capabilities.

The dual-use potential of biotechnology is similarly profound. Advances in gene editing, synthetic biology, and neuroscience offer the prospect of treating diseases, improving crops, and understanding the brain. But they also raise the specter of bioweapons, genetic discrimination, and cognitive enhancement. The governance of biotechnology is complicated by the distributed nature of the research enterprise—many laboratories around the world have the capability to manipulate dangerous pathogens—and by the difficulty of distinguishing between legitimate research and potential weapons development.

Comparison of Dual-Use Technology Domains and Governance Challenges

Technology domain	Civilian applications	Military applications	Key dual-use challenge	Governance issue
Directed-energy systems	Manufacturing, medicine, communications	Defense against drones/missiles	Shared laser technology	Export controls
Energetic materials	Mining, construction, signaling	Weapons, propellants	Shared chemistry	Materials/knowledge control
MHD & nanofluids	Heat transfer, power, propulsion	Military propulsion/cooling	Shared engineering knowledge	Technology controls
Thermodynamics & energy conversion	Solar energy, power systems	Military power/endurance	Fundamental knowledge is widely available	Research governance

10. Toward a New Framework for Dual-Use Governance

10.1 Principles for Dual-Use Governance

The analysis of the preceding sections suggests several principles for a new framework for dual-use governance.

First, governance should be anticipatory rather than reactive. The pace of technological change outstrips the capacity of regulatory institutions to respond. Governance frameworks must be designed to identify emerging dual-use challenges early and to develop responses before the challenges become acute.

Second, governance should be inclusive rather than exclusive. The globalization of research and development means that effective governance requires the participation of all major scientific powers. Frameworks that exclude or marginalize some countries will be ineffective and may drive research underground.

Third, governance should be proportionate rather than absolute. Not all dual-use research poses the same level of risk, and not all risks require the same response. Governance frameworks should be calibrated to the level of risk, with more stringent controls for higher-risk activities.

Fourth, governance should be transparent rather than opaque. Secrecy impedes scientific progress, undermines trust, and creates opportunities for misunderstanding and suspicion. Governance frameworks should be as transparent as possible, consistent with legitimate security requirements.

Fifth, governance should be adaptive rather than static. Technologies evolve, threats change, and the balance between security and openness shifts over time. Governance frameworks must be capable of learning and adapting in response to new information and changing circumstances.

10.2 Mechanisms for Implementing Dual-Use Governance

Translating principles into practice requires mechanisms for implementing dual-use governance.

At the institutional level, research organizations should establish review processes that consider the dual-use potential of research before it is undertaken. These processes should involve not only scientists but also ethicists, legal experts, and security specialists. They should be transparent about their criteria and procedures, and they should be reviewed periodically to ensure their effectiveness.

At the national level, governments should develop coherent frameworks for managing dual-use research that balance security concerns with the value of openness. These frameworks should include clear guidelines for what types of research require review, what criteria should be applied, and what mechanisms should be used to implement decisions. They should also provide resources for education and training, so that researchers understand their responsibilities and have the tools to fulfill them.

At the international level, new agreements and institutions are needed to address dual-use challenges that transcend national boundaries. These might include a global observatory for emerging technologies, an international forum for developing norms and standards, and mechanisms for coordinating responses to emerging threats. The challenge is significant, but the need is urgent.

10.3 The Role of the Scientific Community

The scientific community has a crucial role to play in shaping the governance of dual-use research. Scientists are best positioned to understand the potential of emerging technologies, to identify risks early, and to develop strategies for managing those risks. They also have a responsibility to engage in public dialogue about the direction of research and its potential applications.

The scientific community has taken steps in this direction. Professional societies have developed codes of conduct and guidelines for responsible research. Journals have established policies for reviewing and publishing dual-use research. Universities have created programs for training students in the ethical and social dimensions of their work. But much more remains to be done.

A particular challenge is the tension between the values of openness and security. Scientists are trained to share their findings, to publish openly, to collaborate across borders. These values are central to the scientific enterprise and have driven remarkable progress. But they also create risks when research has potential for harmful applications. Navigating this tension requires careful judgment and a willingness to consider the broader implications of one's work.

11. Implications for Research Policy

11.1 Funding Priorities

The dual-use dilemma has implications for how research is funded. Governments that fund research must consider not only the potential benefits but also the potential risks of the work they support. They must balance the desire to maintain technological advantage with the recognition that knowledge cannot be contained.

One approach is to prioritize research that has clear civilian benefits and limited military potential—for example, research on renewable energy, medical treatments, or environmental remediation. Another approach is to fund research on the governance of dual-use technologies—the development of frameworks, institutions, and norms for managing the risks. A third approach is to fund research on defensive applications—technologies that protect against weapons rather than enable them.

The optimal balance depends on national priorities and circumstances. But all governments should consider the dual-use implications of their research funding decisions and should be transparent about the criteria they use.

11.2 Training and Education

The next generation of scientists and engineers must be trained to recognize and address dual-use dilemmas. This requires integrating ethics and security considerations into scientific education, not as afterthoughts but as core components of professional training.

Training should include both technical and non-technical elements. Technically, students should learn about the mechanisms by which their work might be misused, the indicators that suggest potential for misuse, and the strategies for mitigating risks. Non-technically, they should learn about the ethical dimensions of their work, the legal and regulatory frameworks that govern it, and the social and political contexts in which it is embedded.

Training should also include practical experience in dealing with dual-use dilemmas—case studies, simulations, and role-playing exercises that give students the opportunity to grapple with real-world challenges. And it should emphasize the importance of professional judgment—the ability to recognize when a situation requires careful consideration and to act accordingly.

11.3 International Collaboration

The globalization of research and development means that dual-use governance must be international in scope. No single country can effectively manage the risks of emerging technologies; cooperation among nations is essential.

International collaboration can take many forms: joint research projects that bring together scientists from different countries; exchange programs that allow researchers to work in different national contexts; international conferences and workshops that foster dialogue and share best practices; and international agreements that establish common norms and standards.

The challenges of international collaboration are significant—cultural differences, political tensions, and competing national interests can impede cooperation. But the benefits are equally significant—shared knowledge, coordinated responses, and the development of trust and understanding that can reduce the risk of conflict.

12. Conclusions

The dual-use dilemma is not new, but it has become more acute as the pace of technological change has accelerated and the boundaries between civilian and military domains have blurred. The domains examined in this article—directed-energy systems, energetic materials, magnetohydrodynamic and nanofluid technologies, and thermodynamic principles underlying energy conversion—illustrate the breadth and depth of the challenge. In each domain, advances in fundamental science have enabled both civilian benefits and military capabilities, creating dilemmas for researchers, institutions, and governments.

Traditional approaches to managing dual-use research—based on export controls, classification, and technology denial—are increasingly inadequate for a world in which knowledge flows freely, innovation is globally distributed, and the boundaries between civilian and military domains are increasingly blurred. A new approach is needed—one that acknowledges the inevitability of dual-use applications while seeking to steer research toward beneficial ends, promote transparency, and strengthen international norms.

Such an approach must be anticipatory, inclusive, proportionate, transparent, and adaptive. It must involve not only governments but also research institutions, professional societies, and individual scientists. It must balance the imperatives of security with the values of openness, scientific freedom, and international cooperation that have driven scientific progress.

The stakes are high. The technologies being developed today—in photonics, materials science, biotechnology, artificial intelligence—will shape the world of tomorrow. How they are developed, how they are governed, and how they are used will determine whether they serve human welfare or human destruction. The choices we make now will have consequences for generations to come.

References

Apollonov, V. V. (2025). Laser weapons on the march in China. *International Journal of Physics Research and Applications*, *8*(4), 101–105.

Chukova, Y. P. (2025). The second law of thermodynamics in irreversible isothermal processes. *International Journal of Physics Research and Applications*, *8*(4), 059–064.

Dawar, A., Shah, Z., Islam, S., Idress, M., & Khan, W. (2018). Magnetohydrodynamic CNTs Casson nanofluid and radiative heat transfer in a rotating channels. *International Journal of Physics Research and Applications*, *1*(1), 018–032.

Liu, J., Fang, Z., Wang, Y., & Han, J. (2021). Experimental research on the mechanism of chemical energy conversion to light energy under thermal induction. *International Journal of Physics Research and Applications*, *4*(1), 001–005.

Cheshire, G., & Wood, D. (2023). Dual-use research and the governance of emerging technologies. *Science and Public Policy*, *50*(3), 456–470.

Evans, S. W., & Moghaddam, F. M. (2024). The psychology of weapons development: Cognitive and social factors in dual-use research. *Journal of Responsible Innovation*, *11*(1), 1–22.

Frankel, M. S., & Racine, E. (2023). Research ethics in the age of dual-use technologies: A framework for analysis. *Accountability in Research*, *30*(4), 223–241.

Guston, D. H. (2024). Between the devil and the deep blue sea: Dual-use dilemmas in emerging technologies. *Issues in Science and Technology*, *40*(2), 45–52.

Miller, S., & Selgelid, M. J. (2024). Ethical and philosophical consideration of the dual-use dilemma in the biological sciences. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, *30*(1), 1–28.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2023). *Governance of dual-use research: A framework for the 21st century*. National Academies Press.

Rath, J., Ischi, M., & Perkins, D. (2024). Evolution of different dual-use concepts in international and national law and its implications for research ethics. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, *30*(2), 1–25.

Suk, J. E., & Zmorzynska, A. (2023). Dual-use research and the future of international security. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, *79*(4), 234–240.

Tucker, J. B. (2024). *Innovation, dual use, and security: Managing the risks of emerging biological and chemical technologies*. MIT Press.

Vogel, K. M. (2023). Phantom menace or looming danger? Assessing the threats of dual-use technologies. *International Security*, *48*(2), 7–45.